# First Hit Fwd Refs End of Result Set

☐ Cenerate Collection Print

L23: Entry 10 of 10 File: USPT Feb 6, 2001

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 6185581 B1

TITLE: Train-algorithm-based garbage collector employing fixed-size remembered sets

### Abstract Text (1):

A garbage collector collects a generation of a collected <a href="heap">heap</a> in accordance with the train algorithm. It employs remembered sets associated with respective car sections to keep track of references into the associated car sections. Each remembered set contains entries that identify respective regions in the generation that contain references into the associated car section. A limit is imposed on the number of entries in the remembered sets used to keep track of references to objects in certain car sections that contain only a single object each. An object in any such car section whose remembered set has more than a threshold number of entries is treated as reachable and relinked into a younger train without having the memory regions that those entries identify searched for valid references.

### Brief Summary Text (2):

This application is related to commonly assigned U.S. patent applications of Alexander T. Garthwaite for Popular—Object Handling in a Train—Algorithm—Based Garbage Collector, for Scalable—Remembered—Set Garbage Collection, and for a Train—Algorithm—Based Garbage Collector Employing Reduced Oversized—Object Threshold, and it is also related to commonly assigned U.S. patent applications of Garthwaite et al. for Reduced—Cost Remembered—Set Processing and for a Train—Algorithm—Based Garbage Collector Employing Farthest—Forward—Car Indicator, all of which are filed concurrently herewith and are hereby incorporated in their entirety by reference.

### Brief Summary Text (5):

In the field of computer systems, considerable effort has been expended on the task of <u>allocating</u> memory to data <u>objects</u>. For the purposes of this discussion, the term <u>object</u> refers to a data structure represented in a computer system's memory. Other terms sometimes used for the same concept are record and structure. An <u>object</u> may be identified by a reference, a relatively small amount of information that can be used to access the <u>object</u>. A reference can be represented as a "pointer" or a "machine address," which may require, for instance, only sixteen, thirty-two, or sixty-four bits of information, although there are other ways to represent a reference.

### Brief Summary Text (6):

In some systems, which are usually known as "object oriented," objects may have associated methods, which are routines that can be invoked by reference to the object. They also may belong to a class, which is an organizational entity that may contain method code or other information shared by all objects belonging to that class. In the discussion that follows, though, the term object will not be limited to such structures; it will additionally include structures with which methods and classes are not associated.

### Brief Summary Text (7):

The invention to be described below is applicable to systems that <u>allocate</u> memory to objects dynamically. Not all systems employ dynamic <u>allocation</u>. In some computer

languages, source programs can be so written that all <u>objects</u> to which the program's variables refer are bound to storage locations at compile time. This storage<u>-allocation</u> approach, sometimes referred to as "static <u>allocation</u>," is the policy traditionally used by the Fortran programming language, for example.

#### Brief Summary Text (8):

Even for compilers that are thought of as <u>allocating objects</u> only statically, of course, there is often a certain level of abstraction to this binding of <u>objects</u> to storage locations. Consider the typical computer system 10 depicted in FIG. 1, for example. Data, and instructions for operating on them, that a microprocessor 11 uses may reside in on-board cache memory or be received from further cache memory 12, possibly through the mediation of a cache controller 13. That controller 13 can in turn receive such data from system read/write memory ("RAM") 14 through a RAM controller 15 or from various peripheral devices through a system bus 16. The memory space made available to an application program may be "virtual" in the sense that it may actually be considerably larger than RAM 14 provides. So the RAM contents will be <u>swapped</u> to and from a system disk 17.

#### Brief Summary Text (9):

Additionally, the actual physical operations performed to access some of the most-recently visited parts of the process's address space often will actually be performed in the cache 12 or in a cache on board microprocessor 11 rather than on the RAM 14, with which those caches <a href="mailto:swap">swap</a> data and instructions just as RAM 14 and system disk 17 do with each other.

### Brief Summary Text (11):

Despite these expedients, the use of static memory <u>allocation</u> in writing certain long-lived applications makes it difficult to restrict storage requirements to the available memory space. Abiding by space limitations is easier when the platform provides for dynamic memory <u>allocation</u>, i.e., when memory space to be <u>allocated</u> to a given object is determined only at run time.

### Brief Summary Text (12):

Dynamic <u>allocation</u> has a number of advantages, among which is that the run-time system is able to adapt <u>allocation</u> to run-time conditions. For example, the programmer can specify that space should be <u>allocated</u> for a given <u>object</u> only in response to a particular run-time condition. The C-language library function malloc () is often used for this purpose. Conversely, the programmer can specify conditions under which memory previously <u>allocated</u> to a given <u>object</u> can be reclaimed for reuse. The C-language library function free() results in such memory reclamation.

### Brief Summary Text (13):

Because dynamic <u>allocation</u> provides for memory reuse, it facilitates generation of large or long-lived applications, which over the course of their lifetimes may employ <u>objects</u> whose total memory requirements would greatly exceed the available memory resources if they were bound to memory locations statically.

### Brief Summary Text (14):

Particularly for long-lived applications, though, <u>allocation</u> and reclamation of dynamic memory must be performed carefully. If the application fails to reclaim unused memory—or, worse, loses track of the address of a dynamically <u>allocated</u> segment of memory—its memory requirements will grow over time to exceed the system's available memory. This kind of error is known as a "memory leak."

### Brief Summary Text (15):

Another kind of error occurs when an application reclaims memory for reuse even though it still maintains a reference to that memory. If the reclaimed memory is reallocated for a different purpose, the application may inadvertently manipulate the same memory in multiple inconsistent ways. This kind of error is known as a

"dangling reference," because an application should not retain a reference to a memory location once that location is reclaimed. Explicit dynamic-memory management by using interfaces like malloc()/free() often leads to these problems.

#### Brief Summary Text (16):

A way of reducing the likelihood of such leaks and related errors is to provide memory-space reclamation in a more-automatic manner. Techniques used by systems that reclaim memory space automatically are commonly referred to as "garbage collection." Garbage collectors operate by reclaiming space that they no longer consider "reachable." Statically allocated objects represented by a program's global variables are normally considered reachable throughout a program's life. Such objects are not ordinarily stored in the garbage collector's managed memory space, but they may contain references to dynamically allocated objects that are, and such objects are considered reachable. Clearly, an object referred to in the processor's call stack is reachable, as is an object referred to by register contents. And an object referred to by any reachable object is also reachable.

#### Brief Summary Text (17):

The use of garbage collectors is advantageous because, whereas a programmer working on a particular sequence of code can perform his task creditably in most respects with only local knowledge of the application at any given time, memory allocation and reclamation require a global knowledge of the program. Specifically, a programmer dealing with a given sequence of code does tend to know whether some portion of memory is still in use for that sequence of code, but it is considerably more difficult for him to know what the rest of the application is doing with that memory. By tracing references from some conservative notion of a "root set," e.g., global variables, registers, and the call stack, automatic garbage collectors obtain global knowledge in a methodical way. By using a garbage collector, the programmer is relieved of the need to worry about the application's global state and can concentrate on local-state issues, which are more manageable. The result is applications that are more robust, having no dangling references and fewer memory leaks.

#### Brief Summary Text (18):

Garbage-collection mechanisms can be implemented by various parts and levels of a computing system. One approach is simply to provide them as part of a batch compiler's output. Consider FIG. 2's simple batch-compiler operation, for example. A computer system executes in accordance with compiler object code and therefore acts as a compiler 20. The compiler object code is typically stored on a medium such as FIG. 1's system disk 17 or some other machine-readable medium, and it is loaded into RAM 14 to configure the computer system to act as a compiler. In some cases, though, the compiler object code's persistent storage may instead be provided in a server system remote from the machine that performs the compiling. The electrical signals that carry the digital data by which the computer systems exchange that code are exemplary forms of carrier waves transporting the information.

### Brief Summary Text (19):

The input to the compiler is the application source code, and the end product of the compiler process is application <u>object</u> code. This <u>object</u> code defines an application 21, which typically operates on input such as mouse clicks, etc., to generate a display or some other type of output. This <u>object</u> code implements the relationship that the programmer intends to specify by his application source code. In one approach to garbage collection, the compiler 20, without the programmer's explicit direction, additionally generates code that automatically reclaims unreachable memory space.

#### Brief Summary Text (20):

Even in this simple case, though, there is a sense in which the application does not itself provide the entire garbage collector. Specifically, the application will

typically call upon the underlying operating system's memory—allocation functions. And the operating system may in turn take advantage of various hardware that lends itself particularly to use in garbage collection. So even a very simple system may disperse the garbage—collection mechanism over a number of computer-system layers.

### Brief Summary Text (24):

In addition to running an interpreter, many virtual-machine implementations also actually compile the byte codes concurrently with the resultant <u>object</u> code's execution, so FIG. 3 depicts the virtual machine as additionally including a "just-in-time" compiler 30.

#### Brief Summary Text (28):

Some garbage-collection approaches rely heavily on interleaving garbage-collection steps among mutator steps. In one type of garbage-collection approach, for instance, the mutator operation of writing a reference is followed immediately by garbage-collector steps used to maintain a reference count in that object's header, and code for subsequent new-object storage includes steps for finding space occupied by objects whose reference count has fallen to zero. Obviously, such an approach can slow mutator operation significantly.

### Brief Summary Text (29):

Other approaches therefore interleave very few garbage-collector-related instructions into the main mutator process but instead interrupt it from time to time to perform garbage-collection cycles, in which the garbage collector finds unreachable objects and reclaims their memory space for reuse. Such an approach will be assumed in discussing FIG. 4's depiction of a simple garbage-collection operation. Within the memory space allocated to a given application is a part 40 managed by automatic garbage collection. In the following discussion, this will be referred to as the "heap," although in other contexts that term refers to all dynamically allocated memory. During the course of the application's execution, space is allocated for various objects 42, 44, 46, 48, and 50. Typically, the mutator allocates space within the heap by invoking the garbage collector, which at some level manages access to the <a href="heap">heap</a>. Basically, the mutator asks the garbage collector for a pointer to a heap region where it can safely place the object's data. The garbage collector keeps track of the fact that the thus-allocated region is occupied. It will refrain from allocating that region in response to any other request until it determines that the mutator no longer needs the region allocated to that object.

### Brief Summary Text (30):

Garbage collectors vary as to which objects they consider reachable and unreachable. For the present discussion, though, an object will be considered "reachable" if it is referred to as object 42 is, by a reference in the root set 52. The root set consists of reference values stored in the mutator's threads' call stacks, the CPU registers, and global variables outside the garbage-collected heap. An object is also reachable if it is referred to, as object 46 is, by another reachable object (in this case, object 42). Objects that are not reachable can no longer affect the program, so it is safe to re-allocate the memory spaces that they occupy.

### Brief Summary Text (31):

A typical approach to garbage collection is therefore to identify all reachable objects and reclaim any previously allocated memory that the reachable objects do not occupy. A typical garbage collector may identify reachable objects by tracing references from the root set 52. For the sake of simplicity, FIG. 4 depicts only one reference from the root set 52 into the heap 40. (Those skilled in the art will recognize that there are many ways to identify references, or at least data contents that may be references.) The collector notes that the root set points to object 42, which is therefore reachable, and that reachable object 42 points to object 46, which therefore is also reachable. But those reachable objects point to

no other <u>objects</u>, so <u>objects</u> 44, 48, and 50 are all unreachable, and their memory space may be reclaimed.

### Brief Summary Text (32):

To avoid excessive <u>heap</u> fragmentation, some garbage collectors additionally relocate reachable <u>objects</u>. FIG. 5 shows a typical approach. The <u>heap</u> is partitioned into two halves, hereafter called "semi-spaces." For one garbage-collection cycle, all <u>objects</u> are allocated in one semi-space 54, leaving the other semi-space 56 free. When the garbage-collection cycle occurs, <u>objects</u> identified as reachable are "evacuated" to the other semi-space 56, so all of semi-space 54 is then considered free. Once the garbage-collection cycle has occurred, all new <u>objects</u> are allocated in the lower semi-space 56 until yet another garbage-collection cycle occurs, at which time the reachable <u>objects</u> are evacuated back to the upper semi-space 54.

#### Brief Summary Text (33):

Although this relocation requires the extra steps of copying the reachable <u>objects</u> and updating references to them, it tends to be quite efficient, since most new <u>objects</u> quickly become unreachable, so most of the current semi-space is actually garbage. That is, only a relatively few, reachable <u>objects</u> need to be relocated, after which the entire semi-space contains only garbage and can be pronounced free for reallocation.

### Brief Summary Text (36):

A way of not only reducing collection-cycle length but also increasing overall efficiency is to segregate the <a href="heap">heap</a> into one or more parts, called generations, that are subject to different collection policies. New <a href="heap">objects are allocated</a> in a "young" generation, and older <a href="heap">objects</a> are promoted from younger generations to older or more "mature" generations. Collecting the younger generations more frequently than the others yields greater efficiency because the younger generations tend to accumulate garbage faster; newly <a href="heap">allocated</a> objects tend to "survive."

### Brief Summary Text (37):

But generational collection greatly increases what is effectively the <u>root</u> set for a given generation. Consider FIG. 6, which depicts a <u>heap</u> as organized into three generations 58, 60, and 62. Assume that generation 60 is to be collected. The process for this individual generation may be more or less the same as that described in connection with FIGS. 4 and 5 for the entire <u>heap</u>, with one major exception. In the case of a single generation, the <u>root</u> set must be considered to include not only the call stack, registers, and global variables represented by set 52 but also <u>objects</u> in the other generations 58 and 62, which themselves may contain references to <u>objects</u> in generation 60. So pointers must be traced not only from the basic <u>root</u> set 52 but also from <u>objects</u> within the other generations.

### Brief Summary Text (38):

One could perform this tracing by simply inspecting all references in all other generations at the beginning of every collection cycle, and it turns out that this approach is actually feasible in some situations. But it takes too long in other situations, so workers in this field have employed a number of approaches to expediting reference tracing. One approach is to include so-called write barriers in the mutator process. A write barrier is code added to a write operation to record information from which the collector can determine where references were or may have been written since the last collection cycle. A reference list can then be maintained by taking such a list as it existed at the end of the previous collection cycle and updating it by inspecting only locations identified by the write barrier as possibly modified since the last collection cycle.

### Brief Summary Text (41):

To collect the young generation, it is preferable to employ the card table to

identify pointers into the young generation; laboriously <u>scanning</u> the entire mature generation would take too long. On the other hand, since the young generation is collected in every cycle and can therefore be collected before mature-generation processing, it takes little time to <u>scan</u> the few remaining, live <u>objects</u> in the young generation for pointers into the mature generation in order to process that generation. For this reason, the card table will typically be so maintained as only to identify the regions occupied by references into younger generations and not into older ones.

### Brief Summary Text (42):

Now, although it typically takes very little time to collect the young generation, it may take more time than is acceptable within a single garbage-collection cycle to collect the entire mature generation. So some garbage collectors may collect the mature generation incrementally; that is, they may perform only a part of the mature generation's collection during any particular collection cycle. Incremental collection presents the problem that, since the generation's objects that are outside a collection cycle's collection set are not processed during that cycle, any such objects that are unreachable are not recognized as unreachable, so collection-set objects to which they refer tend not to be, either.

### Brief Summary Text (44):

The discussion that follows will occasionally follow the nomenclature in the literature by using the term car instead of car section. But the literature seems to use that term to refer variously not only to memory sections themselves but also to data structures that the train algorithm employs to manage them when they contain objects, as well as to the more-abstract concept that the car section and managing data structure represent in discussions of the algorithm. So the following discussion will more frequently use the expression car section to emphasize the actual sections of memory space for whose management the car concept is employed.

#### Brief Summary Text (45):

Additionally, the car sections are grouped into "trains," which are ordered according to age. For example, FIG. 7 shows an oldest train 73 consisting of a generation 74's three car sections described by associated data structures 75, 76, and 78, while a second train 80 consists only of a single car section, represented by structure 82, and the youngest train 84 (referred to as the "allocation train") consists of car sections that data structures 86 and 88 represent. As will be seen below, car sections' train memberships can change, and any car section added to a train is added to the end of a train. Train size is a matter of design choice, but its purpose is to maximize the probability that garbage reference "cycles" can be reclaimed, as will now be explained.

### Brief Summary Text (47):

As is usual, the way in which reachable <u>objects</u> are identified is to determine whether there are references to them in the <u>root</u> set or in any other <u>object</u> already determined to be reachable. In accordance with the train algorithm, the collector additionally performs a test to determine whether there are any references at all from outside the oldest train to <u>objects</u> within it. If there are not, then all cars within the train can be reclaimed, even though not all of those cars are in the collection set. And the train algorithm so operates that inter-car references tend to be grouped into trains, as will now be explained.

### Brief Summary Text (48):

To identify references into the car from outside of it, train-algorithm implementations typically employ "remembered sets." As card tables are, remembered sets are used to keep track of references. Whereas a card-table entry contains information about references that the associated card contains, though, a remembered set associated with a given region contains information about references into that region from locations outside of it. In the case of the train algorithm, remembered sets are associated with car sections. Each remembered set, such as car

75's remembered set 90, lists locations in the generation that contain references into the associated car section. The remembered sets for all of a generation's cars are typically updated at the start of each collection cycle, concurrently with card-table updates. For reasons that will become apparent, the collector will typically not bother to place in the remembered set the locations of references from objects in car sections farther forward in the collection queue, i.e., from objects in older trains or cars added earlier to the same train. For the sake of simplicity, we will continue the assumption that only a single car is collected during each collection cycle, although we will discuss multiple-car collection sets presently.

### Brief Summary Text (50):

When the collector has read all references in the remembered set, it evacuates into the youngest train the collection-set-car objects referred to by the references in the locations that the youngest train's scratch-pad-list entries specify. It also removes those scratch-pad-list entries and updates the references to which they pointed so that those references reflect the evacuated objects new locations. Any collection-set objects to which the thus-evacuated objects refer are similarly evacuated to that train, and this continues until that train no longer contains any references into the collection-set car section.

### Brief Summary Text (51):

Whenever an <u>object</u> is evacuated, the collector leaves an indication of this fact in the <u>object's</u> previous location, together with the address of its new location. So, if the reference found in the location identified by any subsequent scratch-padlist entry refers to an already-evacuated <u>object</u>, the collector is apprised of this fact and can update the reference without attempting to evacuate the already-evacuated object.

### Brief Summary Text (52):

This process is repeated for successively older trains until the collector reaches the oldest train. Before it processes references in that train's scratch-pad list, the collector evacuates any <u>objects</u> referred to from outside the generation. To identify such <u>objects</u>, the collector <u>scans</u> the <u>root</u> set and other generations for references into the collection set. Now, it may not be necessary to <u>scan</u> all other generations. A particularly common scheme is not to collect any generation in a collection cycle in which every younger generation is not completely collected, and the policy may be to promote all surviving younger-generation <u>objects</u> into older generations. In such a situation, it is necessary only to <u>scan</u> older generations.

### Brief Summary Text (53):

The <u>scanning</u> may actually involve inspecting each surviving <u>object</u> in the other generation, or the collector may expedite the process by using card-table entries. Regardless of which approach it uses, the collector immediately evacuates into another train any collection-set <u>object</u> to which it thereby finds an external reference. The typical policy is to place the evacuated <u>object</u> into the youngest such train. As before, the collector does not attempt to evacuate an <u>object</u> that has already been evacuated, and, when it does evacuate an <u>object</u> to a train, it evacuates to the same train any <u>objects</u> in the collection-set car to which the thus-evacuated <u>object</u> refers. In any case, the collector updates the reference to the evacuated <u>object</u>.

### Brief Summary Text (54):

When all inter-generationally referred-to <u>objects</u> have been evacuated from the collection-set car, the collector proceeds to evacuate any collection-set <u>objects</u> referred to by references whose locations the oldest train's scratch-pad list includes. It removes them to younger cars in the oldest train, again updating references, avoiding duplicate evacuations, and evacuating any collection-set-car <u>objects</u> to which the evacuated <u>objects</u> refer. When this process has been completed, the car section can be reclaimed, since any reference to any remaining <u>object</u> must

reside in the same car, so all remaining collection-set objects are unreachable.

#### Brief Summary Text (55):

When the collection-set car section has been reclaimed, the garbage collector then performs the train algorithm's central test: it determines whether there are any references into the oldest train from outside that train. If not, the entire train can be reclaimed, even if there are inter-car references between its individual cars. By evacuating objects into the trains that references to them occupy, the train algorithm tends to group garbage reference cycles into single trains, whose sizes are not limited, as car sizes are, by the need to optimize collection-cycle duration. The train algorithm is thus able to reclaim space occupied by large garbage reference cycles even if the space increments (car sections) that it collects are relatively small. To support this process, a tally of how many references there are from other trains in the same generation is typically maintained in connection with the various remembered-set updates. This tally, together with a tally of extra-generational references developed during the extragenerational scan, yields the indications of whether there are any references into a given train from outside that train.

#### Brief Summary Text (56):

FIGS. 8A-8J illustrate results of using the train algorithm. FIG. 8A represents a generation in which objects have been allocated in nine car sections. The oldest train has four cars, numbered 1.1 through 1.4. Car 1.1 has two objects, A and B. There is a reference to object B in the root set (which, as was explained above, includes live objects in the other generations). Object A is referred to by object L, which is in the third train's sole car section. In the generation's remembered sets 92, a reference in object L has therefore been recorded against car 1.1.

### Brief Summary Text (57):

Processing always starts with the oldest train's earliest-added car, so the garbage collector refers to car 1.1's remembered set and finds that there is a reference from object L into the car being processed. It accordingly evacuates object A to the train that object L occupies. The object being evacuated is often placed in one of the selected train's existing cars, but we will assume for present purposes that there is not enough room. So the garbage collector evacuates object A into a new car section and updates appropriate data structures to identify it as the next car in the third train. FIG. 8B depicts the result: a new car has been added to the third train, and object A is placed in it.

### Brief Summary Text (58):

FIG. 8B also shows that object B has been evacuated to a new car outside the first train. This is because object B has an external reference, which, like the reference to object A, is a reference from outside the first train, and one goal of the processing is to form trains into which there are no further references. Note that, to maintain a reference to the same object, object L's reference to object A has had to be rewritten, and so have object B's reference to object A and the inter-generational pointer to object B. In the illustrated example, the garbage collector begins a new train for the car into which object B is evacuated, but this is not a necessary requirement of the train algorithm. That algorithm requires only that externally referenced objects be evacuated to a newer train.

### Brief Summary Text (59):

Since car 1.1 no longer contains live <u>objects</u>, it can be reclaimed, as FIG. 8B also indicates. Also note that the remembered set for car 2.1 now includes the address of a reference in <u>object</u> A, whereas it did not before. As was stated before, remembered sets in the illustrated embodiment include only references from cars further back in the order than the one with which the remembered set is associated. The reason for this is that any other cars will already be reclaimed by the time the car associated with that remembered set is processed, so there is no reason to keep track of references from them.

### Brief Summary Text (61):

FIG. 8B depicts car 1.2 as containing only a single object, object C, and that car's remembered set contains the address of an inter-car reference from object F. The garbage collector follows that reference to object C. Since this identifies object C as possibly reachable, the garbage collector evacuates it from car set 1.2, which is to be reclaimed. Specifically, the garbage collector removes object C to a new car section, section 1.5, which is linked to the train to which the referring object F's car belongs. Of course, object F's reference needs to be updated to object C's new location. FIG. 8C depicts the evacuation's result.

### Brief Summary Text (62):

FIG. 8C also indicates that car set 1.2 has been reclaimed, and car 1.3 is next to be processed. The only address in car 1.3's remembered set is that of a reference in object G. Inspection of that reference reveals that it refers to object F. Object F may therefore be reachable, so it must be evacuated before car section 1.3 is reclaimed. On the other hand, there are no references to objects D and E, so they are clearly garbage. FIG. 8D depicts the result of reclaiming car 1.3's space after evacuating possibly reachable object F.

### Brief Summary Text (63):

In the state that FIG. 8D depicts, car 1.4 is next to be processed, and its remembered set contains the addresses of references in objects K and C. Inspection of object K's reference reveals that it refers to object H, so object H must be evacuated. Inspection of the other remembered-set entry, the reference in object C, reveals that it refers to object G, so that object is evacuated, too. As FIG. 8E illustrates, object H must be added to the second train, to which its referring object K belongs. In this case there is room enough in car 2.2, which its referring object K occupies, so evacuation of object H does not require that object K's reference to object H be added to car 2.2's remembered set. Object G is evacuated to a new car in the same train, since that train is where referring object C resides. And the address of the reference in object G to object C is added to car 1.5's remembered set.

### Brief Summary Text (65):

The collector accordingly processes car 2.1 during the next collection cycle, and that car's remembered set indicates that there are two references outside the car that refer to objects within it. Those references are in object K, which is in the same train, and object A, which is not. Inspection of those references reveals that they refer to objects I and J, which are evacuated.

#### Brief Summary Text (67):

So car 3.1 is processed next. Its sole <u>object</u>, <u>object</u> L, is referred to intergenerationally as well as by a reference in the fourth train's <u>object</u> M. As FIG. 8G shows, <u>object</u> L is therefore evacuated to the fourthtrain. And the address of the reference in <u>object</u> L to <u>object</u> A is placed in the remembered set associated with car 3.2, in which <u>object</u> A resides.

### Brief Summary Text (68):

The next car to be processed is car 3.2, whose remembered set includes the addresses of references into it from objects B and L. Inspection of the reference from object B reveals that it refers to object A, which must therefore be evacuated to the fifth train before car 3.2 can be reclaimed. Also, we assume that object A cannot fit in car section 5.1, so a new car 5.2 is added to that train, as FIG. 8H shows, and object A is placed in its car section. All referred-to objects in the third train having been evacuated, that (single-car) train can be reclaimed in its entirety.

#### Brief Summary Text (69):

A further observation needs to be made before we leave FIG. 8G. Car 3.2's

remembered set additionally lists a reference in <u>object</u> L, so the garbage collector inspects that reference and finds that it points to the location previously occupied by <u>object</u> A. This brings up a feature of copying-collection techniques such as the typical train-algorithm implementation. When the garbage collector evacuates an <u>object</u> from a car section, it marks the location as having been evacuated and leaves the address of the <u>object's</u> new location. So when the garbage collector traces the reference from <u>object</u> L, it finds that <u>object</u> A has been removed, and it accordingly copies the new location into <u>object</u> L as the new value of its reference to <u>object</u> A.

### Brief Summary Text (70):

In the state that FIG. 8H illustrates, car 4.1 is the next to be processed. Inspection of the fourth train's remembered sets reveals no inter-train references into it, but the inter-generational <u>scan</u> (possibly performed with the aid of FIG. 6's card tables) reveals inter-generational references into car 4.2. So the fourth train cannot be reclaimed yet. The garbage collector accordingly evacuates car 4.1's referred-to <u>objects</u> in the normal manner, with the result that FIG. 8I depicts.

### Brief Summary Text (71):

In that state, the next car to be processed has only inter-generational references into it. So, although its referred-to objects must therefore be evacuated from the train, they can be placed in any other train. In the illustrated implementation, a new train is formed for this purpose, so the result of car 4.2's processing is the state that FIG. 8J depicts.

#### Brief Summary Text (72):

Processing continues in this same fashion. Of course, subsequent collection cycles will not in general proceed, as in the illustrated cycles, without any reference changes by the mutator and without any addition of further <u>objects</u>. But reflection reveals that the general approach just described still applies when such mutations occur.

#### Brief Summary Text (73):

However, there is a simplification in the foregoing scenario that does obscure a difficulty encountered in implementing the train algorithm. FIG. 8F shows that there are two references to object L after the second train is collected. So references in both of the referring objects need to be updated when object L is evacuated. This is not a great burden, since only two referring objects are involved, but some types of applications routinely generate objects that are very "popular," i.e., are objects to which there are large numbers of references. Evacuating a single one of these objects therefore requires considerable reference updating.

#### Brief Summary Text (74):

If the <u>object</u> remains popular, moreover, the number of entries that must thereafter be made to its car's remembered set during later collection cycles will also be high. This causes such remembered sets to become large and unwieldy. In the worst case, such an <u>object</u> can be referred to by almost every <u>object</u> in the generation, in which case the remembered set would be on the order of the entire generation's size. This not only causes a significant space problem but also makes maintaining and processing remembered sets costly. As a remembered set's size increases, the cost of adding new entries, eliminating duplicate entries for the same references, and <u>scanning</u> the references during the car's collection can become unacceptable. The garbage-collection overhead thereby imposed by popular-<u>object</u>-using applications may be so great as to make it impractical to provide garbage-collection intervals that are short enough to meet performance requirements.

### Brief Summary Text (75):

One proposal for dealing with popular objects involves marking such objects' cars

as popular and simply exempting those cars from collection, on the theory that popular <u>objects</u> rarely become unreachable. This eliminates the need to maintain remembered sets for those cars, so there are no oversized remembered sets. But one can readily conceive of pathological cases in which large numbers of cars contain such <u>objects</u> that have in fact died. The <u>heap</u> could become largely useless in such cases.

### Brief Summary Text (76):

Another approach is to take advantage of certain features of object-oriented languages. It may be determined from experience that the few objects that become popular are all instances of a small number of classes. So objects that are instances of those classes can be allocated in a special heap not managed in accordance with the train algorithm. That, too, eliminates the remembered-set problem that they would otherwise present. But the classes whose instances tend to be popular are different for different kinds of applications, and obtaining such knowledge for different applications would be impractical.

#### Brief Summary Text (78):

The present invention reduces the remembered-set burden that popular <u>objects</u> can impose, but it does so without exempting such <u>objects</u> from collection after they are no longer popular. Certain remembered sets, typically those for car sections that contain popular <u>objects</u>, <u>are allocated</u> only a fixed amount of memory. Also, and the associated car section is restricted to a single <u>object</u>. When the collector is in the process of updating he remembered sets and encounters a reference into the associate car section, it adds an additional entry to the remembered set only if doing so would not make the space <u>allocated</u> to it too full.

### Drawing Description Text (8):

FIG. 6 is a diagram that illustrates a garbage-collected <u>heap's</u> organization into generations;

### Drawing Description Text (12):

FIG. 10 depicts data structures used in support of a "popular sideyard" employed for popular-object storage;

### Drawing Description Text (13):

FIGS. 11A and 11B are diagrams that depict conventional train-algorithm object relocation;

### Drawing Description Text (14):

FIGS. 12A and 12B are diagrams similar to FIGS. 11A and 11B but instead showing relinking rather than reclamation of popular-object cars;

#### Drawing Description Text (19):

FIGS. 17A-D are diagrams that illustrate <u>scanning</u> the garbage-collected <u>heap</u> for references during a collection cycle that employs a multiple-car collection set;

### Detailed Description Text (2):

The illustrated embodiment eliminates much of the popular—object overhead by placing popular objects in their own cars. To understand how this can be done, consider FIG. 9's exemplary data structures, which represent the type of information a collector may maintain in support of the train algorithm. To emphasize the ordered nature of the trains, FIG. 9 depicts such a structure 94 as including pointers 95 and 96 to the previous and next trains, although train order could obviously be main ained without such a mechanism. Cars are ordered within trains, too, and it may be a convenient to assign numbers for this purpose explicitly and keep the next number to be assigned in the train—associated structure, as field 97 suggests. In any event, some way of associating cars with trains is necessary, and the drawing represents this by fields 98 and 99 that point

to structures containing data for the train's first and last cars.

### Detailed Description Text (3):

One such structure 100 is depicted as including pointers 101, 102, and 103 to structures that contain information concerning the train to which the car belongs, the previous car in the train, and the next car in the train. Further pointers 104 and 105 point to the locations in the heap at which the associated car section begins and ends, whereas pointer 106 points to the place at which the next object can be added to the car section.

### Detailed Description Text (4):

As will be explained in more detail presently, there is a standard car-section size used for all cars that contain more than one object, and that size is great enough to contain a relatively large number of average-sized objects. But some objects can be too big for the standard size, so a car section may consist of more than one of the standard-size memory sections. Structure 100 therefore includes a field 107 that indicates how many standard-size memory sections there are in the car section that the structure manages -- if the structure manages only a single car.

### Detailed Description Text (5):

In the illustrated embodiment, that structure may instead manage a memory section that contains more than one (special-size) car section. So structure 100 includes a field 108 that indicates whether the  $\underline{\text{heap}}$  space associated with the structure is used (1) normally, as a car section that can contain multiple objects, or (2) specially, as a region in which objects are stored one to a car in a manner that will now be explained by reference to the additional structures that FIG. 10 illustrates.

# Detailed Description Text (6):

To deal specially with popular objects, the garbage collector may keep track of the number of references there are to each object in the generation being collected. Now, the memory space 110 allocated to an object typically begins with a header 112 that contains various housekeeping information, such as an identifier of the class to which the object belongs. To keep track of an object's popularity, the header can include a reference-count field 114. When the garbage collector locates references into the collection set during the collection cycle by processing the collection-set cars' remembered sets, it increments the reference-count field in the header of each collection-set object to which it finds a reference. Each time it does so, it tests the resultant value to determine whether the count exceeds a predetermined popular-object threshold. If so, it removes the object to a "popular sideyard" if it has not done so already.

### Detailed Description Text (7):

Specifically, the collector consults a table 116, which points to linked lists of normal car-section-sized regions intended to contain popular objects. Preferably, the normal car-section size is considerably larger than the 30 to 60 bytes that has been shown by studies to be an average object size in typical programs. Under such circumstances, it would therefore be a significant waste of space to allocate a whole normal-sized car section to an individual object. For reasons that will become apparent below, the collector places each popular object into its own, single car section. So the normal-car-section-sized regions to which table 116 points are to be treated as specially divided into car sections whose sizes are more appropriate to individual-object storage.

### Detailed Description Text (8):

To this end, table 116 includes a list of pointers to linked lists of structures associated with respective regions of that type. Each list is associated with a different object-size range. For example, consider the linked list pointed to by table 116's section pointer 118. Pointer 118 is associated with a linked list of normal-car-sized regions organized into n-card car sections. Structure 117 is

associated with one such region and includes fields 120 and 122 that point to the previous and next structure in a linked list of such structures associated with respective regions of n-card car sections. Car-section region 119, with which structure 117 is associated, is divided into n-card car sections such as section 124, which contains object 110.

### <u>Detailed Description Text</u> (9):

More specifically, the garbage collector determines the size of the newly popular object by, for instance, consulting the class structure to which one of its header entries points. It then determines the smallest popular-car-section size that can contain the object. Having thus identified the appropriate size, it follows table 116's pointer associated with that size to the list of structures associated with regions so divided. It follows the list to the first structure associated with a region that has constituent car sections left.

#### Detailed Description Text (10):

Let us suppose that the first such structure is structure 117. In that case, the collector finds the next free car section by following pointer 126 to a car data structure 128. This data structure is similar to FIG. 9's structure 100, but in the illustrated embodiment it is located in the garbage-collected heap, at the end of the car section with which it is associated. In a structure-128 field similar to structure 100's field 129, the collector places the next car number of the train to which the object is to be assigned, and it places the train's number in a field corresponding to structure 100's field 101. The collector also stores the object at the start of the popular-object car section in which structure 128 is located. In short, the collector is adding a new car to the object's train, but the associated car section is a smaller-than-usual car section, sized to contain the newly popular object efficiently.

#### Detailed Description Text (11):

The aspect of the illustrated embodiment's data-structure organization that FIGS. 9 and 10 depict provides for special-size car sections without detracting from rapid identification of the normal-sized car to which a given object belongs. Conventionally, all car sections have been the same size, because doing so facilitates rapid car identification. Typically, for example, the most-significant bits of the difference between the generation's base address and an object's address are used as an offset into a car-metadata table, which contains pointers to car structures associated with the (necessarily uniform-size) memory sections associated with those most-significant bits. FIGS. 9 and 10's organization permits this general approach to be used while providing at the same time for special-sized car sections. The car-metadata table can be used as before to contain pointers to structures associated with memory sections whose uniform size is dictated by the number of address bits used as an index into that table.

### Detailed Description Text (12):

In the illustrated embodiment, though, the structures pointed to by the metadata-table pointers contain fields exemplified by fields 108 of FIG. 9's structure 100 and FIG. 10's structure 117. These fields indicate whether the structure manages only a single car section, as structure 100 does. If so, the structure thereby found is the car structure for that object. Otherwise, the collector infers from the object's address and the structure's section\_size field 134 the location of the car structure, such as structure 128, that manages the object's special-size car, and it reads the object's car number from that structure. This inference is readily drawn if every such car structure is positioned at the same offset from one of its respective car section's boundaries. In the illustrated example, for instance, every such car section's car structure is placed at the end of the car section, so its train and car-number fields are known to be located at predetermined offsets from the end of the car section.

### Detailed Description Text (13):

To appreciate the effect that <u>allocating</u> popular <u>objects</u> to individual cars can have, consider the process of evacuating FIG. 11A's <u>object</u> A from car 1.1 during the collection of that car. (For consistency with FIGS. 8A-J, the <u>object</u> symbols are again placed inside the car symbols, but, of course, the actual <u>object</u> data reside in the car sections that the car structures specify.)

### Detailed Description Text (14):

FIG. 11A depicts object A as being referred to by object F, which resides in a car section associated with card 2.1, i.e. in a train newer than that in which object A resides. The train algorithm therefore requires that object A be evacuated to object F's train. This could be done by moving object A's data into the car section associated with car 2.1. Another alternative, depicted in FIG. 11B, is to begin a new car in object F's train and place object A's data in the associated car section. This is the approach conventionally taken when a previous car did not have enough room for the object being copied.

### Detailed Description Text (15):

In either case, a result is that object F's reference to object A needs to be updated; a comparison of FIGS. 11A and B reveals that object A is necessarily moved to a different memory-space location. But the actual physical copying--and thus the pointer-update--are necessary in the illustrated case only because the car section 130 associated with object A's previous car 1.1 contains other objects, which will not in general end up in the car that object A newly occupies. In other words, if object A had started the collection cycle in its own car and were to end it in its own car, the only operation needed to place object A into a new car would be to relink the car data structures; no reference updates would be necessary. In the illustrated scenario, of course, updating object F's single pointer to object A would typically take less time than re-linking. For popular objects, though, the number of pointers requiring updating can be extremely large, so the benefit of changing car membership solely by relinking is significant.

### Detailed Description Text (16):

The collector therefore places popular <u>objects</u> in their own cars, as was mentioned above. FIG. 12A illustrates the result of this policy. For the sake of illustration, FIG. 12A shows region 130 as divided into smaller car sections sized to accommodate <u>objects</u> the size of <u>object</u> A. FIG. 12B illustrates moving <u>object</u> A to a new car, but it also indicates that <u>object</u> A remains stored in the same memory-space location. Since <u>object</u> A has not moved, <u>object</u> F's reference to it remains the same, as do all other (not shown) references to <u>object</u> A.

### Detailed Description Text (17):

Now, <u>object</u> A's reference count may be less than the popular<u>-object</u> threshold even though it is located in a popular<u>-object</u> car; i.e., it may have been placed in its popular<u>-object</u> car during a previous collection cycle, when its reference count was higher. An advantageous feature of the illustrated embodiment is that it permits such previously popular <u>objects</u> to be returned to regular cars. And a way of taking advantage of this capability involves selective re-linking of popular cars.

### Detailed Description Text (18):

To appreciate the role that this re-linking plays in the collection cycle, it helps to review the overall sequence of a collection cycle. At the beginning of a collection cycle, the card table is updated by scanning all cards marked by a write barrier as modified since the last collection cycle. For a generation that uses the train algorithm, this rescanning of modified cards not only locates references into younger generations but also updates the appropriate remembered sets for cars in the same generation. As was mentioned above, one of the difficulties associated with popular objects is that they tend to cause overly large remembered sets. In the illustrated embodiment, though, any remembered set associated with a popular object car is allocated only a fixed amount of memory, and no further reference-identifying entries are made after the allocated memory is exhausted. Instead, the

collector only updates a field that identifies the youngest train that contains a reference to the <u>object</u> in that car. Although the resultant remembered set is incomplete, the collector operates in such a way that the <u>object's</u> memory space is not reclaimed prematurely. This will be described after a further review of the collection-cycle sequence.

### Detailed Description Text (19):

After the card table is processed and the remembered sets updated, any younger generations are collected. For the sake of example, let us assume that the garbage-collected heap is organized into two generations, namely, a young generation, which is completely collected during every collection cycle, and a mature generation, which is collected incrementally in accordance with the train algorithm. The young generation's collection may involve promotion of some objects into the mature generation, and a new train will typically be allocated for this purpose, unless an empty train already exists. When the young generation's collection is completed, collection of the generation organized in accordance with the train algorithm will begin, and at this point a new train will typically be allocated, too, unless the newest existing train is already empty.

### Detailed Description Text (21):

It is at this point that the re-linking referred to above occurs. The collector identifies the collection-set cars that are of the special popular-object variety. For each car thus identified, it checks the number of entries in the associated remembered set. As was mentioned above, the illustrated embodiment allocates only a fixed amount of memory space to each popular-object car's remembered set; if such a remembered set becomes too full, the collector stops adding entries to it. But it does keep updating a youngest-car value, associated with that car, that tells which is the youngest train that has a reference to that car's object. So, if the number of entries in the remembered set of a collection-set car identified as being of the popular-object variety is large enough to indicate that the collector may have omitted entries for further references, the collector assumes that the object contained in the car is still popular, and the car is immediately re-linked into the train that the youngest-car value identifies. Since the remembered set is to contain the addresses only of references from younger trains or younger cars in the same train, the collector accordingly empties the remembered-set list. Potentially, therefore, the associated remembered set will not be as full when the object comes up for collection again.

### Detailed Description Text (22):

Note that, although the collector has assumed that the <u>object</u> is still popular, it does not really know that it is. The <u>object's</u> reference count is zero at the beginning of the collection cycle and not incremented until the updated remembered sets are processed, as has not yet happened. The collector therefore cannot rely on that value. Also, some of the remembered-set entries, on which the still-popular assumption was based, may be "stale"; this cannot be known, either, until the remembered-set entries are processed. On the other hand, an <u>object</u> may still be popular even if most of the remembered-set entries prove to be stale; there may be many other, "live" references for which the memory <u>allocated</u> to the remembered set had no further room for entries.

#### Detailed Description Text (23):

As was just explained, though, the collector nonetheless treats the <u>object</u> as though it is still popular and re-links its (popular-object) car section into a train young enough that the car section's remembered set can be cleared. (Remember, the illustrated embodiment's remembered set contains entries only for references from younger trains.) If in fact the <u>object</u> had only a few surviving references, its remembered set will be small and no longer qualify it for the popularity assumption when that <u>object</u> next becomes part of a (subsequent) collection cycle's collection set—at least if it has not again become popular in the interim.

### Detailed Description Text (24):

Although popular—object cars for which the number of remembered—set entries exceeds a threshold are linked into new trains immediately, as was just explained, the remainder stay in the collection set for processing with normal cars. That is, their remembered sets are processed to build per-train scratch—pad lists of references into the collection set. In the process, each collection—set object's reference count, which starts at zero at the beginning of the collection cycle, is incremented each time a reference to it has been found. As was explained above, when all of the collection set's remembered sets have thus been processed (and cleared), the collector begins with the youngest train's scratch—pad lists of references and evacuates from the collection set into the respective train the objects to which the references refer.

### Detailed Description Text (25):

If the <u>object</u> is in a normal car section but its reference count exceeds a predetermined threshold, then it is placed into a popular<u>-object</u> car section, as was explained above. On the other hand, if the reference count of an erstwhile popular <u>object</u> reveals that it is no longer popular, it is evacuated into a regular car section if it is not reclaimed. In order to introduce some hysteresis into popular<u>-object</u> car-section assignment, the threshold for indicating that an <u>object</u> is no longer popular will typically be made some-what lower than the threshold used to determine that an <u>object</u> is newly popular.

### Detailed Description Text (26):

After the remembered-set processing, the collector processes any references from outside the generation into the collection set, as was also explained above, and all collection-set\_objects thereby identified as having been referred to externally are placed into the youngest train.

#### Detailed Description Text (28):

Popular <u>objects</u> are not the only ones whose placement into small, one<u>-object</u> car sections is advantageous. In virtual-machine contexts, for instance, the <u>interface</u> from the virtual machine to so-called native methods not written in the virtual machine's language must frequently make copies of <u>objects</u> that are subject to relocation by the collector. But the <u>interface</u> can av id this copying, which can be quite time-consuming in the case of large <u>objects</u>, if it is known that the collector will not relocate the <u>object</u>. An example of a type of <u>object</u> for which this problem can arise frequently is the I/O buffer. Although such buffers are large, normal-size car sections tend to be much larger, so it would be wasteful to dedicate a whole normal-sized car section to an I/O buffer in order to avoid relocation and copying. Also, evacuation by copying takes more operations for larger <u>objects</u> than for smaller ones. Placing larger <u>objects</u> in one<u>-object</u> sections reduces the cost of evacuating them, since it enables the evacuation to be done simply by re-linking, without re-locating.

### <u>Detailed Description Text</u> (29):

But such waste is unnecessary, because "oversized" objects can be placed in car sections that are considerably smaller than those used for multiple objects and thereby remain stationary without wasting space excessively. In the illustrated collector, an object can be a relatively small fraction of the normal car-section size and still be considered oversized. Such an object is stored in a special-size car section, just as a popular object is. However, it is marked as being oversized by, say, placing an appropriate entry in its car-structure field corresponding to FIG. 9's field 132. For instance, that field may be provided as a four-byte field, with one of the bytes indicating whether the object is oversized and others indicating whether it is popular and whether it has only recently become so. (There are reasons not relevant to the present discussion why it may be convenient to distinguish newly popular objects from other popular objects.)

### Detailed Description Text (30):

An oversized <u>object</u> thus placed in a special-sized car section is handled largely in the manner described above for popular <u>objects</u>. Preferably, its remembered set, too, is <u>allocated</u> only a fixed amount of storage, so its car section is subjected to immediate relinking when its remembered set gets too full, just as a popular <u>object's</u> is. The main difference is that an oversized <u>object's</u> reference count is not tested to determine whether the <u>object</u> will remain in the special car section; an object that starts out oversized remains oversized.

### Detailed Description Text (31):

While the assignment of popular <u>objects</u> to their own cars eliminates the reference-update problem that popular <u>objects</u> present, it does nothing about another popular<u>object</u> problem, which is the burden that such <u>objects</u> impose on the task of maintaining remembered sets. For popular<u>object</u> cars, this burden is somewhat contained by the fact that remembered sets are not allowed to grow beyond a predetermined size. But <u>objects</u> considerably less popular than the ones that qualify for their own cars may also be afflicted with this problem.

### Detailed Description Text (32):

To appreciate the remembered-set-maintenance problem, consider FIG. 13, which illustrates one of the many types of reference-list organizations that embodiments of the present invention may employ. There is theoretically little limit to a remembered set's size; if an <u>object</u> is referred to by every other <u>object</u> in the generation, for instance, the remembered set for that <u>object's</u> car could be a significant fraction of the entire generation size. But it does not make sense to <u>allocate</u> that much space to each remembered set initially, and FIG. 13 depicts a memory space 150 <u>allocated</u> to the remembered set's reference list as containing only sixteen reference-sized locations.

### Detailed <u>Description Text</u> (33):

At the beginning of each collection cycle, the collector inspects modified cards, as was mentioned above, and makes sure that any references from cars to cars farther forward in the queue are reflected in those farther-forward cars' remembered sets. That is, when the collector encounters such a reference, it should place the address of that reference into the remembered set of the referred-to object' car. But it should do so only if the remembered set does not already contain that address: the collector should avoid duplicates.

### Detailed Description Text (34):

There are many approaches to achieving this result, and FIG. 13 illustrates one of them for the sake of concreteness. Let us suppose that the reference of interest occurs at a location whose address is 192E. To determine where to place this address in the memory space 150 allocated to the reference list, the collector applies a hash function 152 to the address. In the illustrated example, the hash function is simply the address's four least-significant bits, whose hexadecimal representation is E.sub.H. The collector uses this value as an offset into the list, but it does not immediately store the address at the list location thus identified It first reads that location's alue to determine whether another address has already been stored there. In the FIG. 13 scenario, one already has.

### Detailed Description Text (37):

With the small list that FIG. 13 depicts, this approach to adding reference-list entries is fairly economical. But it does not scale particularly well. A car that includes a more-popular object may require a remembered-set reference list that is large indeed, and the amount of time taken to find a free location can significantly degrade performance. The illustrated collector provides two different ways of reducing this performance impact. In the illustrated embodiment, the previously described approach of employing fixed-size remembered sets is used for popular-object cars, while an approach about to be described is used for regular cars, although there is no reason in principle whyethe two approaches uses need to be divided up in this manner.

### Detailed Description Text (38):

The approach used for regular cars is to adjust remembered-set granularity dynamically. FIG. 14 is a flow chart that illustrates one way of entering new remembered-set addresses in accordance with this approach. Block 154 represents beginning the process, and block 156 represents a test, which will now be described, for determining whether the space <u>allocated</u> to the list is already too full.

#### Detailed Description Text (40):

If the count has not reached the threshold, the new entry is simply added, as FIG. 14's block 163 indicates. Otherwise, the collector increases the memory space allocated to the list, as block 164 indicates, unless the list size has already reached a limit value for which the step of block 166 tests. The size-increase operation of block 164 includes allocating more space to the list and re-entering the address values. For instance, the list size may be doubled and the hash-function output given an additional bit so that the address entries will be spaced more sparsely. This tends to reduce the time required to find an empty list location.

### Detailed Description Text (49):

The description of an example train-algorithm scenario set forth above in connection with FIGS. 8A-J made the simplifying assumption that each collection cycle collected only a single car. But it is preferable for the collection-set size to be dynamically adjustable in accordance with current conditions. Strategies for doing this differ, but one example is to set collection-set size to a value that approximately equals the average amount of space recently <u>allocated</u> between collection cycles. The fixed normal car size used in support of such a strategy would equal the increment by which collection-set size can be adjusted.

### Detailed Description Text (50):

Now, the reason for maintaining a separate remembered set for each car is that it cannot be known ahead of time which cars will be grouped together in a given collection set. Once the collection-set size is determined for a given collection cycle, though, the above-described process of evacuating objects from the collection set can largely be performed as though all of the collection-set objects occupy the same car.

### Detailed Description Text (51):

But the fact that they do not actually occupy the same car tends to impose inefficiencies. In particular, since the different cars' remembered sets were necessarily maintained separately, they are quite likely to specify reference-containing regions redundantly. That is, a region <a href="scanned">scanned</a> once in response to a remembered-set entry for one of the collection-set cars may also be specified by another collection-set car's remembered set. Clearly, re-scanning the same region is wasteful. But attempts to avoid this waste are complicated by the fact that the different remembered-set entries may well specify reference-containing regions with different granularities. The illustrated collector provides a way of dealing with this complication, as will now be explained.

### Detailed Description Text (52):

An array of Boolean values is associated with respective segments of the generation, and the collector marks the array during collection as it scans the corresponding regions to which the collection-set remembered-set entries direct it. Once a segment is marked, the collector does not again scan it. FIGS. 17A-D illustrate this approach. In FIG. 17A, we assume that the collection set has three cars, with which three remembered sets 180, 182, and 184 are respectively associated. As was mentioned above, all entries in the same remembered set identify their associated regions with the same granularity, but we will also assume here that the three remembered sets' granularities are all different. Specifically, the

farthest forward car's remembered set 180 has a medium granularity, the remembered set 182 of the car next in line has a relatively coarse granularity, and the last car's remembered set 184 has a relatively fine granularity.

### Detailed Description Text (54):

As was mentioned above, the collector proceeds largely as though all collection-set objects are in the same car. That is, when it inspects the region to which remembered set 182's address-list entry points, it searches not only for references into the car section associated with that remembered set car but also for references into the car sections managed by the cars associated with the other remembered sets 180 and 184. When it finds them, it proceeds in the manner described above for the case of the single-car collectionset.

### Detailed Description Text (55):

Additionally, the collector keeps track of where it has <u>scanned</u>. It does so by making marks in a Boolean array 186 that contains an entry for each of the memory-space segments into which FIG. 17A shows the generation's memory space 60 as being divided. As FIG. 17A illustrates, all of array 186's elements begin the collection cycle with the same value, depicted in FIG. 17A as zero. Once the collector has finished inspecting the region to which remembered set 182's illustrated address-list entry ADDR[k] points, the collector enters a second value, which FIG. 17B depicts as one, in the array elements that correspond to all of the memory-space segments within the region referred to by remembered set 182's address-list entry. It is most convenient for this segment size to equal that of the previously mentioned cards.

### Detailed Description Text (59):

But a similar review of the array element corresponding to the segment encompassing the region to which ADDR[n] points finds that the segment has not been inspected. The collector accordingly proceeds to inspect that segment for references into the collection set. Since the region that the collector thereby inspects does not span the entire segment to which it belongs, though, the collector refrains from marking that segment as inspected, as FIG. 17D indicates. If there were a further fine-granularity remembered set that has an address-list entry identical to ADDR[n], the collector would not be apprised of the repetition, and it would accordingly reexamine the indicated region. So most collectors that employ this feature will be so designed that their minimum granularities will correspond to the segment size used by their arrays for indicating which regions have already been scanned.

### Detailed Description Text (62):

In particular, the collector updates its farthest-forward-car values at the beginning of each collection cycle for all of a generation's cards that a write barrier employed for that purpose has identified as having been modified since the last collection cycle. So the write barrier used to identify cards whose modifications may necessitate card-table or remembered-set updates can also be used to identify those that may need their farthest-forward-car values updated. And the card-inspection operation used to re-summarize those cards' inter-generation references can additionally include steps for updating the farthest-forward-car values. In such implementations, in other words, the farthest-forward-car value can be thought of as another field in each of FIG. 6's card-table entries.

### Detailed Description Text (63):

In one exemplary approach, the farthest-forward-car value identifies the oldest train and farthest-forward car by taking the form of a pointer to the farthest-forward car section's car structure. (In embodiments in which the "farthest-forward-car" value is actually used only to identify the oldest train, it may take the form of a pointer to the train structure.) When a card is first allocated, this pointer's value is NULL. It remains NULL so long as <a href="scans">scans</a> of that card triggered by that card's modifications between collectin cycles detect no references to cars farther forward than the one that includes that card.

# Detailed Description Text (64):

When the <u>write barrier</u> has marked that card as modified since the last collection cycle, the collector sets that card's farthest-forward-car value to NULL before <u>scanning</u> it. Then, when it finds a reference into a farther-forward car, it not only updates that referred-into car section's remembered set, as was mentioned above, but also updates the <u>scanned</u> card's farthest-forward-car value.

### Detailed Description Text (65):

Specifically, the collector compares the train and car values indicated by the referred-to car section's car structure with the train and car values indicated by the car structure to which the <a href="scanned">scanned</a> card's current farthest-forward-car value points. If that comparison indicates that the referred-into car section is farther forward than the car section identified by the current farthest-forward-car value-or if the current farthest-forward-car value is NULL-the collector replaces the <a href="scanned">scanned</a> card's current farthest-forward-car value with a pointer to the referred-into car section's car structure.

### Detailed Description Text (67):

This approach involves having placed collection-set indicators in collection-set car sections' car structures. When a collector implementing this approach has identified collection-set members at the beginning of a collection cycle, it makes the various data-structure changes necessary to remove the collection-set car sections from their trains, and it provides a collection-set indicator in each collection-set car structure by setting a Boolean is in collection set field (not shown in the drawings) that car structures employed by implementations of this approach include. Then, if the farthest-forward-car value involved in the block 192 determination points to a car structure containing such an indicator, that determination is negative, and the collector scans the associated card. If that car structure's is in collection set field is not set, on the other hand, the determination is positive, and the associated card is not scanned.

# Detailed Description Text (68):

The description above of the block 192 determination is slightly oversimplified, because the farthest-forward-car value may not point to any car at all. It may be NULL, for instance, indicating that the card contains no references into a farther-forward car. In that case, of course, the collector does not scan the associated card. But there may also be other reserved values that some embodiments recognize as not being valid pointers to car structures. For example, there may be a value, which we will call UNKNOWN, that the collector interprets as indicating that the card may contain references into cars farther forward but that the farthest-forward-car value does not point to the associated car structure. In that case, the collector would scan the associated card. In cases in which the region specified by the remembered-set entry contains the whole card, the collector may use that card scan additionally to update the farthest-forward car entry.

# Detailed Description Text (70):

A preferred approach is therefore simply to set such cards' farthest-forward-car values to UNKNOWN. Now, some embodiments may update any UNKNOWN farthest-forward-car value at the beginning of the next cycle, just as though its card had been modified. But a card that has references to objects whose cars have just been collected is more likely than others not to have references into farther-forward cars, so there is some economy in omitting such a step. If that approach is taken, the collector will typically update the value only at the point, if any, at which its card is actually encountered during remembered-set processing.

### Detailed Description Text (72):

Some embodiments may expedite the block 192 determination further by immediately setting to UNKNOWN the farthest-forward-car value associated with a card whose farthest-forward-car value points to a car structure that is determined in the

block 192 step to be associated with a car section in the collection set. Although it is preferable to use the above-described use of the Boolean array to avoid repetitive scanning of the same region in a given collection cycle, not all collectors will use that feature. And it may be necessary to visit the same card more than once in a cycle even for embodiments that do. If a remembered-set entry specifies a region smaller than a card, for instance, the Boolean-entry approach will not necessarily prevent the region-containing card from being revisited. Without more, the collector would therefore have to repeat the process of following the farthest-forward-car value to the car structure and checking that structure for the collection-set indicator before it could conclude that it does indeed need to scan the region that the remembered-set entry identified.

### Detailed Description Text (73):

By immediately setting the farthest-forward-car value to UNKNOWN, though, the collector avoids the need to repeat inspection of the car structure if that card is encountered again, since the UNKNOWN value will cause it to search in the associated card without doing so. Collectors that implement this feature will ordinarily leave the farthest-forward-car value equal to UNKNOWN in those situations in which the resultant scan reveals that the farthest forward car is indeed in the collection set. Otherwise, they will update the farthest-forward-car value by making it equal to a pointer to the farthest forward car.

### Detailed Description Text (74):

Note that the car farthest forward is not necessarily the one that is oldest chronologically. A comparison of FIGS. 8A and 8B illustrates this distinction. Those drawings show that car 3.2 came into existence later than car 4.2. But car 3.2 is farther forward than car 4.2, because it belongs to an older train. Indeed, one may employ the same general approach by using only oldest-train values, without also maintaining a value representing that oldest train's farthest-forward car containing a reference to an object in the associated segment.

#### Detailed Description Text (75):

Use of this feature tends to avoid searching in response to "stale" remembered-set entries. Recall in this connection that the approach to remembered-set maintenance described above normally updates a remembered set at the beginning of a collection cycle only when inspection of a card marked by the write barrier as modified reveals that the card has a reference into the car with which the remembered set is associated. But inspecting a modified card identifies only cars into which it now refers, not cars into which it previously referred. So this type of remembered-set update can only add entries; it cannot remove them. Remembered-set entries can therefore become stale. But use of farthest-forward-car values as just described reduces the number of searches through regions identified by stale remembered-set entries.

#### Detailed Description Text (76):

The illustrated embodiment also takes advantage of this fact in another way. The collector culls a remembered set before that set's associated car is collected. It does so by scanning the farthest-forward-car values associated with the cards that the remembered set's entries identify. If no car specified by the farthest-forward-car values associated with any of the cards in a given region thus identified is at least as far forward as the remembered set's car, the collector discards the entry that identified that region.

### Detailed <u>Description Text</u> (78):

More important, though, is that such culling can improve the remembered-set up-date process. To appreciate this, consider the remembered-set-entry process that FIG. 14 illustrates. Its blocks 164 and 170 respectively represent increasing the remembered set's size and coarsening its granularity. Each of those steps includes copying entries from the space previously <u>allocated</u> to the set, possibly revising the entries, and placing the possibly revised entries into the remembered set's

newly <u>allocated</u> space. So each such process presents a convenient opportunity to use the relevant farthest-forward-car values to ensure that no entry written into the new space is stale. In many cases, such culling will enable the collector to avoid or delay a further size increase or granularity coarsening.

### Detailed Description Text (79):

By providing a way to limit selected remembered sets to fixed sizes, the present invention makes it possible not only to contain the burden that popular <u>objects</u> impose but also to obtain the benefits of single-<u>object</u> remembered sets with less overhead penalty. It therefore constitutes a significant advance in the art.

### Other Reference Publication (2):

Henry Lieberman and Carl Hewitt, "A Real-Time Garbage Collector Based On The Lifetimes of Objects", Communications of the ACM, 26(6), pp. 419-429, 1983.

### Other Reference Publication (4):

Andrew W. Appel, "Simple Generational Garbage Collection And Fast Allocation", Software Practice and Experience, 19(2): 171-183, 1989.

# Other Reference Publication (5):

Richard Hudson and Amer Diwan, "Adaptive Garbage Collection For Modula-3 And Small Talk" in OPPSLA/ECOOP '90 Workshop on Garbage Collection in Object-Oriented Systems, Oct. 1990, edited by Eric Jul and Niels-Christian Juul.

### Other Reference Publication (7):

Antony L. Hosking, J. Eliot B. Moss and Darko Stefanovic, "A Comparative Performance Evaluation of <u>Write Barrier</u> Implementation", in OOPSLA '92 ACM Conference on <u>Object</u>-Oriented Systems, Languages, and Applications, vol. 27(10) of ACM SIGPLAN Notices. Vancouver, BC, Oct. 1992, ACM Press.

### Other Reference Publication (8):

Richardson L. Hudson and J. Eliot B. Moss, "IncrementalCollection of Mature Objects,", Proceedings of the International Workshop on Memory Management, 1992, Springer-Verlag.

### Other Reference Publication (9):

Urs Holze, "A Fast <u>Write Barrier</u> For Generational Garbage Coolectors" in OOPSLA/ECOOP '93, edited by Moss, Wilson and Zorn.

## Other Reference Publication (10):

Anthony L. Hosking and Richard L. Hudson, "Remembered Sets Can Also Play Cards" in OOPSLA/ECOOP '93 Workshop on Garbage Collection in Object-Oriented Systems, Oct. 1993, edited by Moss, Wilson and Zorn.

### Other Reference Publication (11):

Jacob Seligmann and Steffen Grarup, "Incremental Mature Garbage Collection Using Train Algorithm", In The European Conference on Object-Oriented Programming 1995 Proceedings. Available at http://www.daimi.aau.dk/jacobse/Papers/.

# Other Reference Publication (13):

Azagury et al. "Combining Card Marking With Remembered Sets: How To Save <u>Scanning</u> Time", Proceedings of The First International Symposium on Memory Management, vol. 34(3) of ACM SIGPLAN Notices, Vancouver: ACM Press, Oct. 1998.

#### CLAIMS:

1. A method of garbage collection that includes treating a generation of a collected <a href="heap">heap</a> as divided into car sections that belong to trains in such a manner as to impose a collection sequence, maintaining remembered sets, associated with respective car sections, of remembered-set entries that identify regions containing

inter-car references to <u>objects</u> contained in car sections with which those remembered sets are respectively associated, performing a remembered-set-update process by searching through the generation for references into the car sections with which the remembered sets are associated and adding to the remembered sets remembered-set entries that identify regions thereby found in car sections less forward in the collection sequence than the car sections with which the remembered sets are associated, and collecting the generation in collection cycles, in each of which a collection set of at least one car section is collected in accordance with the train algorithm and the remembered sets associated with each car section of the collection set are processed to identify <u>objects</u> that will survive the collection cycle, and wherein:

- A) when the number of remembered-set entries in at least one, given remembered set associated with one, given car section that contains only a single, given object has reached a predetermined maximum, the remembered-set-update process includes increasing the number of remembered-set entries in the given remembered set no further; and
- B) when the given car section's turn for collection arrives and the regions identified by the given remembered set contain less than all the references to the given object found during the update process in car sections less forward than the given car section, the given car section is linked into a train independently of which regions identified by the given remembered set still contain references to the given object.
- 2. A method as defined in claim 1 wherein:
- A) the remembered-set-update process includes maintaining a least-forward-train value for the given remembered set that identifies the least-forward train to which a car section belongs that contains a reference to the given object; and
- B) the given car section is linked into the train identified by the least-forward-train value.
- 3. A garbage collector that treats a generation of a collected <a href="heap">heap</a> as divided into car sections, so links the car sections into trains as to impose a collection sequence on the car sections, maintains remembered sets, associated with respective car sections, of remembered-set entries that identify regions containing inter-car references to <a href="https://doi.org/bic.com/bic
- A) the garbage colle tor limits to a predetermined maximum the number of remembered-set entries in at least a given remembered set associated with a respective, given car section that contains a given object set of at least one object in such a manner as to permit the given remembered set to omit an entry that identifies a region, located in a car section farther forward than the given car section, that contains a reference to an object in the given object set; and
- B) when the collection sequence reaches the given car section, the garbage collector's processing of the given remembered set comprises:

h

- i) making a remembered-set-omission determination of whether the remembered set may omit an entry that identifies a region, located in a car section farther forward than the given car section, that contains a reference to an object in the given object set; and
- ii) if so, linking the given car section into a train independently of which regions identified by the given remembered set contain references to the given set.
- 4. A garbage collector as defined in claim 3 wherein the garbage collector permits only remembered sets associated with car sections that contain at most one <u>object</u> to omit entries that identify regions, located in car sections farther forward than the car sections with which those remembered sets are associated, that contain references to <u>objects</u> in the car sections with which those remembered sets are associated.
- 5. A garbage collector as defined in claim 3 wherein, when the remembered-set-omission determination is that the given remembered set does not omit an entry that identifies a region, located in a car section farther forward than the given car section, that contains a reference to an <u>object</u> in the given <u>object</u> set, the garbage collector's processing of the given remembered set includes linking the given car section into the least-forward train into which is linked a car section that contains a region identified by an entry in the given remembered set.
- 6. A garbage collector as defined in claim 5 wherein the garbage collector permits only remembered sets associated with car sections that contain at most one <u>object</u> to omit entries that identify regions, located in car sections farther forward than the car sections with which those remembered sets are associated, that contain references to <u>objects</u> in the car sections with which those remembered sets are associated.
- 7. A garbage collector as defined in claim 3 wherein:
- A) the remembered-set-update process includes maintaining for the given remembered set a least-forward-train value that identifies the least-forward train to which a car section belongs that contains a reference to an object in the object set; and
- B) when the given car section is linked into a train independently of which regions identified by the given remembered set contain references to object in the given object set, the given car section is linked into the train identified by the least-forward-train value.
- 8. A garbage collector as defined in claim 7 wherein the garbage collector permits only remembered sets associated with car sections that contain at most one <u>object</u> to omit entries that identify regions, located in car sections farther forward than the car sections with which those remembered sets are associated, that contain references to <u>objects</u> in the car sections with which those remembered sets are associated.
- 9. A garbage collector as defined in claim 7 wherein, when the remembered-set-omission determination is that the given remembered set does not omit an entry that identifies a region, located in a car section farther forward than the given car section, that contains a reference to an <u>object</u> in the given <u>object</u> set, the garbage collector's processing of the given remembered set includes linking the given car section into the least-forward train into which is linked a car section that contains a region identified by an entry in the given remembered set.
- 10. A garbage collector as defined in claim 9 wherein the garbage collector permits only remembered sets associated with car sections that contain at most one <u>object</u> to omit entries that identify regions, located in car sections farther forward than

the car sections with which those remembered sets are associated, that contain references to <u>objects</u> in the car sections with which those remembered sets are associated.

- 11. A garbage collector as defined in claim 3 wherein the garbage collector further so performs the remembered-set-update process for a second remembered set associated with a second car section, which contains a second object set of at least one object, as to require that the second remembered set contain an entry that identifies every region, located in a car section farther forward than the second car section, that contains a reference to an object in the second object set.
- 12. A garbage collector as defined in claim 11 wherein the garbage collector permits only emembered sets associated with car sections that contain at most one object to omit entries that identify regions, located in car sections farther forward than the car sections with which those remembered sets are associated, that contain references to objects in the car sections with which those remembered sets are associated.
- 14. A garbage collector as defined in claim 13 wherein the garbage collector permits only remembered sets associated with car sections that contain at most one <a href="https://doi.org/10.25/10.25/2